PII: S0040-4039(96)01410-4

NOVEL SYNTHESIS OF VINYL CYCLOPROPANE CARBOXYLIC ACIDS: APPLICATION TO THE SYNTHESIS OF (d,l)- AND (d)-CIS-CHRYSANTHEMIC ACID

Alain Krief * and Dominique Swinnen

Department of Chemistry, Facultés Universitaires Notre-Dame de la Paix, 61 rue de Bruxelles, B-5000 NAMUR (Belgium).

Abstract: Reaction of sulfur ylides with suitably 4-functionalized-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclopentenones allows an efficient entry to the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-one skeleton which proved to be a valuable precursor of vinyl cyclopropane carboxylic acids. Application to the synthesis of (d,l)- and (d)-(cis)-chrysanthemic acid is described. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

A few years ago, we disclosed 1a,b the synthesis of (d,l)-cis-chrysanthemic acid 1' $(R_1, R_2 = Me)^2$ from dimedone 2' as the starting material. The main steps of this synthesis involve (i) geminal dialkylation of dimedone, (ii) oxidative cyclisation of dimethyl dimedone, (iii) reduction of the resulting bicyclic dione 3' and (iv) tosylation of the β -hydroxy ketone intermediate 4'a followed by Grob fragmentation (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1

L Me L Me

The key step of this approach is without doubt the synthesis of the β-hydroxy ketone **4'a**, the only isomer leading to **1'**. It requires the chemo- and stereoselective reduction of one of the carbonyl groups of **3'** by its most hindered face, successfully achieved by NaBH₄-CeCl₃. ^{1a},b

We now report novel and highly convergent syntheses of (*d*,*l*)-*cis*- and (*d*)-*cis*-chrysanthemic acids from bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane derivatives ¹ 3', 4'a and 4'b involving the cyclopropanation of 2,2-dimethyl-4-cyclopenten-1,3-dione 5,3 4-hydroxy-5,5-dimethyl-cyclopent-2-en-1-one 6a,3 and related compounds 6b-e3 with isopropylidenediphenyl sulfurane 7' (enone, 1.2 eq. Ph₂S=CMe₂ LiBF₄, DME, - 78°C, 1h then 20°C, 1h). The latter is prepared *in situ* by metallation of the corresponding sulfonium tetrafluoroborate salt (1.2 eq. Ph₂S-CHMe₂ BF₄, 1 eq. LDA, 1 eq. CH₂Cl₂, DME, - 78°C, 0.5 h).⁴

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Wolfgang Opplozer: a good friend and a fine chemist.

Although it is highly polymerisable, the 4-cyclopentene-1,3-dione 5 efficiently reacts with isopropylidenediphenylsulfurane 7' and produces the desired bicyclic dione 3' in reasonably good yield (Scheme 3, entry a).

The reaction of the same ylide on the 4-hydroxy- 6a, 4-tosyloxy- 6b, 4-silyloxy- 6c or 6d and 4-acetoxy- 6e enones is completely chemo- and stereoselective and leads to the bicyclo[3.1.0]hexane derivatives bearing a free hydroxyl group 4'a or related derivatives bearing an activated (such as 4'b) or a protected (such as 4'c-e) hydroxyl group in the *exo*-position (Scheme 4). These are the only stereoisomers which can be transformed to chrysanthemic acid 1'.¹ Interestingly cyclopropanation of 6a takes place without competitive retro-aldol reaction neither on the starting enone 6a, nor on the resulting bicyclic derivative 4'a. Furthermore, cyclopropanation of 6'b occurs without any concomitant reaction on the allylic tosylate moiety and allows a very convergent and straightforward approach to (d,l)-cis-chrysanthemic acid 1'.¹a

We have extended this reaction to ethylidenediphenyl sulfurane 7". ^{4a,6a} Although we expected the results to be more complex, the reaction proceeded stereoselectively on the 2,2-dimethyl-4-cyclopenten-1,3-dione 5 leading mainly to the bicyclic derivative bearing the methyl group in *anti*-position 3" (d.e. 92 %, Scheme 3, entry b).

The reaction is still high yielding but by far less stereoselective when carried out on the hydroxy enone 6a or on its derivatives 6b-e.

The *anti-exo* **4"a** stereoisomer is the major product obtained from the hydroxy enone **6a** (Scheme 4, entry a) accompanied by trace amounts of the three other stereoisomers (5% each) whereas equal amounts of the *anti-exo* and the *syn-exo* stereoisomers **4"e** are generated from its acetoxy derivative **6e** (Scheme 5, entry b).

Scheme 5

Surprisingly the reaction takes another course with the closely related silyloxy derivatives **6c-d** (Scheme 6). Cyclopropanation of trimethylsilyloxy derivative **6c** provides a 1.6/1 mixture of anti-exo and anti-endo stereoisomers **4"c** resulting from the attack of the ylide from each of the two faces of the enone **6c**. More surprising is the even higher percentage of the anti-endo stereoisomer **4"d** arising from the attack of the ylide from the most hindered of the two faces of the enone **6d** bearing the particularly bulky t-butyldimethylsilyloxy group at its 4-position.

Scheme 6

Entry	Enone	R	Product	Yield*	anti-exo	anti-endo
а	6 c	TMS	4"c	85	36	58
b	6 d	TBDMS	4 " d	82	30	68
С	6 b	Ts	4"b	82	64	30

The syn-exo stereoisomers are also formed in 6, 2 and 6% respectively.

We have also found that the 4-tosyloxy enone **6b** possesses a similar reactivity to that of the silyl derivatives, although the *anti-endo* stereoisomer **4"b** is no longer the predominant one.

Most of the compounds reported here are known and their stereochemistry already established. 1a-c It was easy to compare their spectra to those of authentic samples and therefore to determine their structure unambiguously.

Finally the reaction of isopropylidenediphenyl sulfurane 7' with the readily available optically active 4-acetoxycyclopentenone 6e³ allows the highly enantioselective synthesis of the bicyclo[3.1.0]cyclohexanone 4'e⁵ from which (1R)-cis-Chrysanthemic acid has been synthesized (Scheme 7).^{1d}

The anti-endo and syn-endo stereoisomers are also formed in 5% each.

Most of the reactions reported here produce the most stable stereoisomers *via* transition states involving the weakest steric interactions (Schemes 3, 4, 5 entry a). Few others involving ethylidenediphenyl sulfurane 7' and 4-acetoxy- 6e, 4-silyloxy- 6c-d or 4-sulfonyloxy- 6b enones (Schemes 5 entry b, Scheme 6) lead to results which are not consistent with this interpretation. In such cases, electronic interactions are expected to dominate the above mentioned steric effects and might be responsible for these discrepancies. More work is required to clearly rationalize all these results. For example, the formation of *syn*-cyclopropyl derivatives, related to the reaction we just reported from ethylidenediphenyl sulfurane 7" and a bicyclic unsaturated lactame 8, has been explained by Meyers by the addition of the ylide with the lowest steric interaction followed by a rotation in order to attain proper alignment of the leaving sulfonium group in an S_{N2} type reaction (Scheme 8).^{6a}

The same author has attempted to explain, but without success, ^{6b} results related to those we have reported here using the Cieplak ^{6c-d} stereoelectronic model (Scheme 9).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- (a) Krief, A.; Surleraux, D.; Frauenrath, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6157 (b) Krief, A.; Surleraux, D. Synlett 1991, 273 (c) Krief, A.; Surleraux, D.; Robson, M.J. Synlett 1991, 276 (d) Krief, A.; Surleraux, D.; Ropson, N. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 289.
- Krief, A. in "Stereocontrolled Organic Synthesis. A 'Chemistry for the 21st Century' Monograph", International Union
 of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Trost, B.M., Ed; Blackwell Scientific Publications 1994, 337.
- 3. Kreiser, W.; Wiggermann, A.; Krief, A.; Swinnen, D. accompanying paper.
- (a) Corey, E. J.; Jautelat, M.; Oppolzer, W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1967, 2325 (b) Corey, E. J.; Jautelat, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3912 (c) Sevrin, M.; Hevesi, L.; Krief, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 3915 (d) Krief, A.; Dumont, W.; Pasau, P.; Lecomte, Ph. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 3039 (e) Krief, A.; Lecomte, Ph; Demoute, J.P.; Dumont, W. Synthesis 1990, 275 (f) Romo, D.; Romine, J. L.; Midura, W.; Meyers, A. I. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 4951.
- 5. This work $[a]D^{20} = +76.8$ (c= 1.05, CHCl₃) literature $[a]D^{20} = +77.6$ (c= 1.85, CHCl₃) ^{1d}
- (a) Romo, D.; Meyers, A. I. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6265 (b) Meyers, A. I.; Wallace, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2509. (c) Cieplak, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4540 (d) Johnson, C. R.; Tait, B. D.; Cieplak, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5875.

The authors thank FRIA (Fonds pour la formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et l'Agriculture, Belgium) for supporting this work (Fellowship to D.S).

(Received in UK 14 June 1996; accepted 19 July 1996)